

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/670,932	Applicant(s) ANUMALA, MOHNISH	
	Examiner JUDE J. JEAN GILLES	Art Unit 2443	

All Participants:

(1) JUDE J. JEAN GILLES.

(2) DAVID A. DAGG.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2009

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:45 PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 13, and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Two points of contention are discussed and Applicant's representative agrees to:

1) *Amend claims 1, and 14 and their dependency to possibly store the software modules into a memory hardware element as indicated in the specification, thereby rendering the claims statutory under 35 USC 101.*

2) *Amend independent claims 1 and 14 and their dependency to include the determining step of claims 2 and 14, ensuring that the system is ready to accept the task of establishing the communication paths.*

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jude J Jean-Gilles/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

